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The views expressed in this paper are those of authors and do not necessarily represent those of the ECCBSO or those of the national central banks. The financial cost indicator used in this study was calculated with a harmonized definition. Nevertheless, it reflects national charts of accounts, which may not be completely harmonized.
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Between 2017 and 2019 financing costs decreased in most of the European countries

Between 2017\(^1\) and 2019, the median of financing costs has continued to decrease in the majority of the countries (Figure 1). France and Portugal show the most significant reductions in this period. On its hand, Poland presented a slightly increase in corporations’ financing costs.

**Figure 1 – Financing costs’ median between 2000 and 2019 (micro companies excluded)**

![Graph showing financing costs between 2000 and 2019](image)

In most of the countries, almost 50% of firms have financing costs below 2.5% in 2019

Through the analysis of the distribution of companies by financing cost classes, it is possible to draw the following conclusions (Figure 2):

- In France, Germany, Spain and Portugal, more than 50% of the corporations had financing costs below 2.5% in 2019;
- In all the presented countries, except Poland and Turkey\(^2\), more than 30% of the corporations had financing costs between 1% and 2.5%;

\(^1\) See News release “The financing costs of nonfinancial corporations in European countries”, available on [ECCBSO website].
\(^2\) Turkish data refers to the first nine months of 2021.
All the countries had more than 8% of the corporations with financing costs above 7.5% and in Poland almost 20% of the corporations had financing costs overhead 7.5%; this percentage is higher in Turkey (32%).

Figure 2 – Percentage of corporations, by class of financing costs, in 2019* (micro companies excluded)

* Turkish data refers to the first nine months of 2021.

When these results are compared with the 2017’s, it is observed a shift of corporations into the lower classes in all the countries, with the exception of Poland.

Comparing pre-crisis periods, in general the financial pressure was lower in 2019

In 2020 the world faced a pandemic crisis that is not yet completely overpassed. Despite the fact this crisis is very different from the international economic and financial crisis faced in 2008 and in the
following years, this analysis gives very useful insight in understanding the financial strength of the companies and therefore their resilience, in comparison with the 2008 crisis.\footnote{See Outlook 9, from BACH-WG “European non-financial corporations: a comparison for pre-crisis periods”, available on ECCBSO website.}

Financing costs are a good indicator of the financial pressure that corporations face. Actually, almost all countries’ corporations seem to face lower financial pressure these days (Figure 3). For the majority of the countries, in 2019, the third quartile of financing cost was lower than the median in 2007. These data show that, overall, in 2019 companies were financially much stronger than in 2007, and therefore much more equipped to face crisis.

**Figure 3 – Quartiles of the financing cost distribution, by country: values for 2007 and 2019* (micro companies excluded)**

* Turkish data refers to the first nine months of 2021.

---

Legend
- P(75): Third quartile \(\rightarrow\) 25% of the companies face financing costs equal to or greater than the third quartile
- P(50): Second quartile (or median) \(\rightarrow\) Half of the companies borne FC higher than the median value; the other half borne FC lower than the median value
- P(25): First quartile \(\rightarrow\) 25% of the companies face financing costs that equal to or less than the first quartile

(These interpretations assume a prior sort of the individual company’s values for the FC indicator, in ascending order)
Activity sectors’ financing costs depend on the countries

As previously presented in the last edition of this news release, the ranking of the financing costs’ median by activity sector differs from country to country and there is not a clear sectorial pattern (Figure 4). For example, France, Italy and Spain present similar patterns with the highest financing costs for the construction and energy sectors and the lowest for agriculture. At the same time, the energy sector has the lowest financing costs in Germany, Poland and Turkey, while trade and construction face almost the highest. So, there is not a particular sector that stands out for permanently displaying the lowest or highest median, independently of the country.

Figure 4 – Financing costs’ median in 2019, by country and activity sector (micro companies excluded)

* Turkish data refers to the first nine months of 2021.

The percentage of resilient firms was higher in 2019

Comparing the percentage of corporations with interest coverage ratio (ICR) below two, for both pre-crisis periods, the same conclusion previously presented is gathered: a lower percentage of firms was under financial pressure in 2019 (Figure 5).

---

4 See News release “The financing costs of nonfinancial corporations in European countries”, available on ECCBSO website.
5 Interest coverage ratio is not available for Turkey.
In 2019, almost all the presented countries showed less than 15% of corporations with EBITDA (earnings before interest, taxes, depreciations and amortizations) below two times the financial expenses. Actually, the percentage of resilient corporations increased for almost all the countries (except Poland).

**Figure 5 – Percentage of vulnerable firms (ICR<2) in 2007 and 2019 (micro companies excluded)**

![Figure 5](image)

The percentage of debt of the most vulnerable firms (firms with ICR < 2) also decreased for the majority of countries. Only Germany presented a slight increase when comparing 2007 and 2019 figures (Figure 6).

**Figure 6 – Percentage of debt held by vulnerable firms (ICR < 2) in 2007 and 2019 (micro companies excluded)**

![Figure 6](image)
Annexes

Annex I: Methodology

Financial Cost indicator

The financing cost ratio (FC) was compiled using BACH database items. Table 1 presented below provides a more detailed insight on the data used.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Financing Cost indicator (FC)</th>
<th>Numerator</th>
<th>Denominator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$I_{10}$</td>
<td>$L_1 + L_2 + L_{31}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FC</td>
<td></td>
<td>$\frac{I_{10}}{L_1 + L_2 + L_{31}} \times 100$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legend:
- $I_{10}$: Interests on financial debts
- $L_1$: Bonds and similar obligations
- $L_2$: Amounts Owned to Credit Institutions
- $L_{31}$: Other financial creditors

Data selection

Some exclusion criteria were applied to the database to avoid statistical noise and outliers that could bias the results. More precisely, there were three groups of data excluded from the database:

a) Outlier observations, classified as observations that satisfy any of the following conditions:

$$P25(FC) - 6 \times IQR$$

$$P75(FC) + 6 \times IQR$$

FC: Financing Cost indicator
P25: 25th percentile (or first quartile)
P75: 75th percentile (or third quartile)
IQR: Interquartile range (which is equal to P75-P25)

b) Companies with outstanding debt, but without financial expenses;
c) Companies without debt, but with financial expenses.

For reasons of confidentiality, the results for samples with less than 30 companies are not disclosed, as they were replaced by missing.

**Interest coverage ratio**

The interest coverage ratio (ICR) was compiled with the formula presented in Table 2 (based on BACH database items).

**Table 2 – Composition of Interest coverage ratio**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interest coverage ratio (ICR)</th>
<th>Numerator</th>
<th>Denominator</th>
<th>ICR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EBITDA</td>
<td>$EBITDA = I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_{41} - I_{42} - I_5 - I_6 - I_7 - I_{81} - I_{83}$</td>
<td>$I_{10}$</td>
<td>$\frac{EBITDA}{I_{10}} \times 100$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legend:

- $I_1$: Net turnover
- $I_2$: Variation in stocks of finished goods and work in progress
- $I_3$: Capitalised production
- $I_{41}$: Operating subsidies and supplementary operating income
- $I_{42}$: Financial income
- $I_5$: Cost of goods sold, materials and consumables
- $I_6$: External supplies and services
- $I_7$: Staff costs
- $I_{81}$: Operating taxes and other operating charges
- $I_{83}$: Financial expenses other than interests on financial debt
- $I_{10}$: Interests on financial debts
Annex II – Participating countries and sector/size criteria

Participating countries:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participating countries</th>
<th>Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>FR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>DE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>IT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>PL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>PT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>ES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>TR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>NACE Rev. 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture, Mining, etc.</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A, B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturing</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy and Water</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D, E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H, I, J, L, M**, N, P, Q, R, S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>To</td>
<td>(All NACE codes, except F43.1, M70.1, K, T and U)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* except F43.1 - "Demolition and site preparation"
** except M70.1 - "Head Offices"

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sizes</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Sales Thresholds*, in €M (millions of euros)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Micro</td>
<td>SZ1</td>
<td>Sales ≤ €2M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small</td>
<td>SZ2</td>
<td>€2M &lt; Sales ≤ €10M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>SZ3</td>
<td>€10M &lt; Sales ≤ €50M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large</td>
<td>SZ4</td>
<td>Sales &gt; €50M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total without Micro</td>
<td>SZ0</td>
<td>Sales &gt; €2M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* 2015 base year, deflated by HICP (Harmonized Index of Consumer Prices) in each year
Annex III – Number of companies included by country and activity sector

Financial Cost indicator, total without micro in 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Agriculture, Mining, etc.</th>
<th>Manufacturing</th>
<th>Energy and Water</th>
<th>Construction</th>
<th>Trade</th>
<th>Services</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>92 037</td>
<td>1 465</td>
<td>17 383</td>
<td>1 866</td>
<td>10 746</td>
<td>33 963</td>
<td>26 614</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>31 188</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>8 454</td>
<td>1 713</td>
<td>2 902</td>
<td>7 859</td>
<td>9 926</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>72 380</td>
<td>1 299</td>
<td>26 442</td>
<td>2 248</td>
<td>5 696</td>
<td>21 822</td>
<td>14 873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>13 887</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>4 706</td>
<td>851</td>
<td>1 051</td>
<td>3 747</td>
<td>3 234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>15 203</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>3 809</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>1 162</td>
<td>5 730</td>
<td>3 761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>32 498</td>
<td>938</td>
<td>7 658</td>
<td>526</td>
<td>2 598</td>
<td>12 681</td>
<td>8 097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey*</td>
<td>1 253</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>304**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Turkish data refers to the first nine months of 2021. As noted in the previous publication, for Turkey, financing expenses in financial statements of firms cover broader definition than interest on financial debts; interest and other financing expenses. Therefore, a new database, which covers the Turkish non-financial corporations with an outstanding foreign denominated credit amount higher than 15 million US, allowed the calculation of corresponding financing costs. This database includes a separate account of interest expenses on financial debts.

**For Turkey, services aggregate include mining and holding companies.

Interest coverage ratio, total without micro in 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Agriculture, Mining, etc.</th>
<th>Manufacturing</th>
<th>Energy and Water</th>
<th>Construction</th>
<th>Trade</th>
<th>Services</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>87 969</td>
<td>1 381</td>
<td>16 539</td>
<td>1 724</td>
<td>10 338</td>
<td>32 669</td>
<td>25 318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>29 178</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>7 955</td>
<td>1 609</td>
<td>2 791</td>
<td>7 275</td>
<td>9 229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>72 200</td>
<td>1 243</td>
<td>26 326</td>
<td>2 227</td>
<td>5 632</td>
<td>21 765</td>
<td>15 007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>13 006</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>4 444</td>
<td>797</td>
<td>989</td>
<td>3 516</td>
<td>2 983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>14 028</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>3 530</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>1 073</td>
<td>5 301</td>
<td>3 441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>29 771</td>
<td>869</td>
<td>7 086</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>2 398</td>
<td>11 589</td>
<td>7 349</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>